INS RULING CRITICIZED

'No Objection' statement should be liberally granted

The new law that grants special immigrant status to qualified alien physicians was intended to remedy the plight of those who have been in the United States practicing medicine for several years, but could not obtain permanent resident status because of their inability to comply with the requirements of the visa qualifying examination, in addition to the unavailability of yisa numbers. It was clear from the congressional record that, inasmuch as these physicians had been rendering bealth care services for some time, they deserved to become permanent residents immediately.

There was one provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act, however, that frustrated this new intent of the US Congress, It required them to depart from the United States to reside and be physically present in the country of their nationality or last residence for an aggregate of at least two years. This is known as the two year foreign residence requirement.

Not all of these physicians are subject to the requirement but a great number of those who obtained their J visas after May 25, 1972 are. It was apparently because of this stumbling block that a move was initiated within Immigration and Naturalization Service for a blanket waiver of the requirement.

I just learned that the HS International; Communication Agency (USICA) has opposed this move and chances are that there will be no blanket waiver. An officer of the USICA, however, said that if a physician obtains a "no objection statement" from his home covernment it will be bonored. It should be noted that under the law, "no objection



(Editor's note: Mr. Seguritan. attorney, was formerly connect to the Philippine Medical Association of Philippine Medical Association of America, member of the Committee on Foreign Medical Graduates of the Association of Immigration and Nationality Langues, Immigration editor of the Common Law Langue and Member of the Asian-American Institute of the Asian-American Institute to the Committee to INS Commissioner Castillo, that whonited a position paper on the FMG problem in 1977).

statements" do not guarantee a waiver and it is the USICA that's makes the final recommendation to the INS.

The problem is that not all physicians who request a "no objection statement" are successful. There must be a strong reason, like hardship for the physician's child, who is undergoing medical treatment in the U.S. (not ordinary sickness), or urgent need of his community for his medical services.

It may be a good idea to request, the Philippine government to be more relaxed in granting a "no objection statement" in light of the fiberal attitude of the US Congress, the INS and the USICA. A similar request was made several years ago to Foreign Minister Carlos P. Romulo by Dr. Roberto Cunanan, then president of the Philippine Medical Association of America. There should be a follow-up to this

request. After all, the Philippine government has been known to respond favorably to this kind of need.

I suggest that the mere presence of a US citizen child or continuous residence in the US for at least five years plus an established medical practice should be added to the list of acceptable reasons. INS interpretation criticized

In a recent conversation with an officer of the Central Office of the Immigration and Naturalization Service, Washington D.C., I learned that proposed regulations on the implementation of PL 97-116 as they refer to alienphysicians will be soon published in the Federal Register, One of the regulations will affirm an item in an INS memo to its District Offices, dated Jan. 28, 1982, which limits the applicability of the law to those physicians who actually entered the United States before Jan. 10, 1978 as H or J nonimmigrants.

It should be recalled that one of the requisites for the application les un alien physician as a special, immigraph is that he must have Sentered as an II de L nonimmigrant, L. The world "entered," according to the limmigration Officer, should be construct to mean physical entry—any coming of ancation into the United States, from a loreign port of place, or from an outlying possession. This definition was apparently taken from Section 101 (a)(13) of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

I asked the same officer the reason for the strict interpretation, and I was told that the Judiciary Committee of the United States Congress was consulted. He emphasized that the law was very specific in referring only to H I nonimmigrants, thus

excluding those who came to the' United States as tourists.

I argued that this reasoning failed to consider the logic behind the law. What he was actually saving was that if the alien physician entered the United States as a tourist, but later changed his visa to H or J nonimmigrant, said physician would not be qualified. This was where I disagreed.

I did not dispute the contention that the law should apply only to those who came here for graduate medical education or training (the purpose of a J or H nonimmigrant medical graduate). But didn't the alien who entered as a tourist but changed to H or J visa siso accomplish the same purpose? think that the intent of the law excluded only those physicians who did not undergo medical training or study, like and medical license. To distinguish between those who physically entered as H or I and those who changed so the or young United States, would be ab a Assa fuelber (Brangue theorphenotae bestievel) as a course business to one month after, he would also gualified, is there a valid basis distinguishing these two cas from the case of the tourist at changed to H or J status?

Another flaw in the interpretation can be gleaned from a reading of Section 212 (a)(32) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. This was the controversial amendment of PL 94 484 which declared foreign medical graduates who have not passed

parts I and II of the National Board of Medical Examiners Examination as ineligible to receive visas and excluded from admission into the United States. Affected under the amendment were not only those physiciam who were physically entering the United States, but also those who have been here for many years, and were in fact the beneficiaries of approved third or sixth preference or non preference visa petitions, who were yet to apply for their immigrant visus. Also affected were those who were here as nonimmigrants but were applying to change their status to 1-1 visas. While that amendment J-l yisas. While that amendment used the word 'coming' instead of 'contered' as in the sew large should not their measures for the since especially that PL 97-116 was passed to undo the unjust consequences of PL 94-948?

During the contertainment that the law was intended to correct an overtight. Congressional Langues said: